

WindowsNT Engineer/MCSE Competitive Position® Salary Survey

Survey Dates: January 4 through December 27, 1998

Title	Page
Table of Contents	1
Copyright, Address and Disclaimers	2
Welcome Letter	3
Graph Reference: Expected Salary Offer per Year of Experience	4
Graph Reference: Extreme Salary Offers	5
Graph Reference: Geographic Salary Adjustment	6
Salary Characteristics of the Job Market	
April 1999 Geographic Salary Adjustments for IT Professionals	7
Table of April 1999 Geographic Salary Adjustment for IT Professionals	8
Characteristics of the Sample Data	9
Sample Salary and Experience Averages and Variance	10
Equation of the Expected Salary Offer	11
Graph: Expected Salary Offer per Year of Required Experience	12
Wage Inflation	13
Extreme Salary Offers: 1 Year of Required Experience	14
Extreme Salary Offers: 2 Years of Required Experience	15
Extreme Salary Offers: 3 Years of Required Experience	16
Extreme Salary Offers: 4 Years of Required Experience	17
Extreme Salary Offers: 5 Years of Required Experience	18
Statistical Test Results	19
Heteroscedasticity Correction	20

Copyright © 1996-1999 by Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc.
All rights reserved.

Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc.
P.O. Box 603
South Glastonbury, CT 06073

<http://www.wholeroot.com/>

Toll Free Phone/Fax: 1-888-413-1792
E-mail: survey@wholeroot.com

Robert Gerald Vivona
Chief Economist and Statistician
bob@wholeroot.com , 1-888-413-1792

This License allows a **single user** to store, backup and print this
Competitive Position® Salary Survey.

You must reproduce the Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc copyright notice on all prints.

You may not modify, network, sell, subscribe, loan, electronically transfer or distribute this
Competitive Position® Salary Survey in whole or in part.

Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc. has carried out the Competitive Position® Salary
Survey according to accepted statistical standards. The statistics present information about the
data source. Possible biases and other errors may exist within the data source that Whole
Root® Economic Research, Inc. is not responsible for. Individual job placements can not be
identified. Job placement and/or salary improvement is not guaranteed nor offered.

The New York Times, the Washington Post and the internet newsgroup comp.jobs.offered
are not associated with, do not endorse, and are not responsible for Competitive Position®
Salary Surveys or Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc. in anyway.

Computer hardware and/or software firms are not associated with, do not endorse, and are
not responsible for Competitive Position® Salary Surveys or Whole Root® Economic
Research, Inc. in anyway.

Welcome

I established Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc. in 1996 to provide extensive market analysis to individual decision makers. My Competitive Position® Salary Surveys enable individuals to assess salary opportunities and set employment goals. It is the first affordable salary survey designed specifically to assist all participants in the job marketplace. Whether you are a computer professional, information technology manager or human resource professional, I hope you find this material useful.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. G. Vivona". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of each name being capitalized and prominent.

Robert Gerald Vivona

Phone/Fax: 1-888-413-1792

bob@wholeroot.com

A Special Thanks to:

Nicholas Vivona

Computer Industry Expert

Graph Reference: Expected Salary Offer per Year of Experience

The Middle Black Line

This line depicts the expected salary offer for each year of required experience calculated from the sample of want ads.

The expected salary for each year of required experience is shown in a box on the line.

The expected salary is the most likely, and the average, salary offered.

The 95% Probability Lines Infer the Expected Salary Offer for the Entire Job Market

The sample of classified want ads enables inferences to be made concerning the entire job market for this position.

The gray lines above and below the middle black line present the Highest and Lowest salary offers that can be expected in the entire job market.

There is a 95% certainty that the average salary offer, within the entire job market for this position, lies between the High and the Low numbers that point to the gray lines at each year of required experience.

Please Note:

All three curves cross at the central tendency point.

The further the number of years of required experience is from the central tendency point, the larger the 95% probability region of the expected salary offer.

Graph Reference: Extreme Salary Offers

There are 3 statistics presented in this graph

Each statistic presents an assessment of the likelihood or frequency of a salary offer occurring:

= **Expected Salary Offer**

The expected salary offer is the most likely salary offer as calculated from the sample of want ads

68% of Want Ads list a Salary between these two Extremes (+/- 1 standard deviation)

The 68% probability extreme indicates the boundaries where salary offers become infrequent for the entire job market

95% of Want Ads list a Salary between these two Extremes (+/- 1.96 standard deviations)

The 95% probability extreme indicates the boundaries where salary offers become extremely infrequent for the entire job market

Extreme Salaries

The 68% Probability Extremes:

Salary offers are unlikely above or below this range

Two Thirds (68%) of salary offers are in this range

Only 1/6th (16%) of salary offers are greater than the high number

Only 1/6th (16%) of salary offers are less than the low number

The 68% confidence interval is constructed by taking one standard deviation then adding it to and subtracting it from the expected salary offer

The 95% Probability Extremes

Salary offers are extremely unlikely above or below this range

95% of salary offers are in this range

Only 2.5% of salary offers are greater than the high number

Only 2.5% of salary offers are less than the low number

The 95% confidence interval is constructed by multiplying the standard deviation by 1.96 then adding it to and subtracting it from the expected salary offer

For the complete presentation of the expected salary offer please see the "Expected Salary Offer per Year of Experience" graph.

Geographic Salary Adjustment Reference

The Canada adjustments convert New York City area salary offers in US\$ into regional equivalents in Can\$.

The Map

The number “.xx” in each box indicates the average percentage of a salary offer listed in a want ad in the New York City Metropolitan Area that can be expected in another geographic area, “.xx” is equivalent to “xx%”. For a regional adjustment, multiply the salary offered in a Competitive Position® Salary Survey by the number “.xx”.

The Table

The “Expected” geographic salary adjustment is the most likely adjustment based on the sample of want ads. There is a 95% certainty that the geographic salary adjustment, within the entire computer and programmer job market, lies between the “95% Low” and the “95% High” numbers.

The 95% confidence interval of the geographic salary adjustment should be used with the 95% confidence interval of the salary offer statistics from the Competitive Position® Salary Survey job titles. Multiply the “95% Low” geographic adjustment to the 95% High extreme salary offer from the “Expected Salary Offer” graph. Multiply the “95% High” geographic adjustment to the 95% Low extreme salary offer from the “Expected Salary Offer” graph. This will create the minimum 95% confidence interval for your region, qualifications and years of experience.

Methodology

The geographic salary adjustment multipliers are calculated as the ratio of the mean salary offers from each geographic region relative to the New York City region. The mean salary offer and its 95% confidence interval (± 1.96 times the standard deviation of the mean) were derived for each geographic area. The geographic adjustment multipliers were calculated as the ratio of the means, 95% lower bounds and 95% upper bounds of each geographic region relative to the New York City region.

For the United States, want ads posted to the internet newsgroup “comp.jobs.offered” from April 5 through May 3, 1999 were surveyed. For Canada, want ads posted to the internet newsgroup “can.jobs” from May 7 through May 13, 1999 were surveyed. Please browse the newsgroups to familiarize yourself with the data sources. To be included in the geographic salary survey, a want ad had to list a salary offer, a geographic region and a skill that was included in the 1998 Competitive Position® IT Salary Survey of want ads listed in the New York Times. The Mean U.S.A. Salary Offer is \$69.7K and lies within the 95% probability region of the Mean Salary Offer of the 1998 Competitive Position® IT Salary Survey of want ads listed in the New York Times.

Region	# of Want Ads	Region	# of Want Ads
Arizona	82	New York City	162
Atlanta	113	New York, Upstate	110
Austin / San Antonio	128	North Carolina	245
Boston	246	Ohio	109
Chicago	97	Oregon	76
Colorado	98	Philadelphia	257
Dallas	142	San Diego	78
Florida	230	San Francisco / San Jose	80
Hartford / Springfield	90	South Carolina	44
Houston	102	Tennessee	93
Indiana	118	Utah	48
Kansas City / St. Louis	89	Virginia	81
Los Angeles	365	Washington (State)	106
Michigan	72	Washington, D.C.	112
Minnesota	93	Wisconsin	125
Nebraska	77	US Total	3,871
Alberta / British Columbia	105	Toronto	425
Montreal / Ottawa / Quebec	79	Canada Total	609

Boston includes Worcester and Southern New Hampshire. Dallas includes Fort Worth. Hartford/Springfield includes New Haven. Los Angeles includes Santa Barbara. New York City includes Northern New Jersey, Southern Connecticut and Nassau, Westchester and Rockland counties in New York. Philadelphia includes Central and Southern New Jersey and Wilmington, DE. Virginia does not include Northern Virginia. Washington, D.C. includes Northern Virginia and Montgomery and Prince Georges counties in Maryland.

Table of April 1999 Geographic Adjustments for IT Professionals

Regional Multipliers Relative to New York City Metropolitan Area

The “Expected” geographic salary adjustment is the most likely adjustment based on the sample of want ads. There is a 95% certainty that the geographic salary adjustment, within the entire IT job market, lies between the “95% Low” and the “95% High” numbers.

Region	95% Low	Expected	95% High	Region	95% Low	Expected	95% High
Alberta / British Columbia	75.5%	76.4%	77.2%	Nebraska	80.6%	81.5%	82.2%
Arizona	78.1%	79.7%	81.2%	New York City	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Atlanta	83.4%	84.6%	85.7%	New York, Upstate	83.4%	83.7%	84.1%
Austin / San Antonio	88.3%	88.6%	88.8%	North Carolina	79.8%	80.2%	80.6%
Boston	90.1%	90.3%	90.5%	Ohio	75.3%	76.3%	77.4%
Chicago	83.0%	83.6%	84.3%	Oregon	84.5%	84.7%	84.8%
Colorado	81.8%	82.8%	83.7%	Philadelphia	79.9%	80.0%	80.2%
Dallas	77.8%	79.3%	80.7%	San Diego	92.8%	94.1%	95.3%
Florida	73.0%	73.0%	73.1%	San Francisco / San Jose	103.4%	104.5%	105.4%
Hartford / Springfield	86.7%	87.5%	88.2%	South Carolina	68.9%	70.4%	71.8%
Houston	88.7%	89.3%	90.0%	Tennessee	78.8%	79.5%	80.1%
Indiana	73.0%	73.7%	74.4%	Toronto	75.5%	75.9%	76.3%
Kansas City / St. Louis	77.9%	78.9%	79.8%	Utah	78.4%	81.4%	84.2%
Los Angeles	87.0%	87.3%	87.7%	Virginia	77.3%	78.5%	79.7%
Minnesota	80.2%	81.3%	82.4%	Washington (State)	85.3%	85.3%	85.3%
Michigan	81.2%	82.6%	83.9%	Washington, D.C.	92.4%	92.5%	92.5%
Montreal / Ottawa / Quebec	65.1%	67.2%	69.2%	Wisconsin	81.4%	81.6%	81.9%

WindowsNT Engineer/MCSE

Characteristics of Sample Data

Sample Source: The **New York Times** Sunday Employment section

Dates: The 52 weeks (1 Year) from January 4 through December 27, 1998

Number of Classified Want Ads: 70

Qualifications Listed in the Want Ads

Type of Qualification	To be Included Each Want Ad Must Have	Salary Influenced When Listed = #Ads	Salary Not Influenced when Listed = #Ads
Responsibility	MCSE, Engineer, or Network Engineer		Project Leader/Manager = 24
Hardware / OS	WindowsNT		
Language			
Database			
Network			
Software			
Industry			

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE


Sample Averages and Distributions

Sample Size: 70 Want Ads


Sample Source: The New York Times Sunday Employment section

52 Weeks from January 4 through December 27, 1998

Salary



Required Experience



WindowsNT Engineer/MCSE

Equation of The Expected Salary Offer

Salary offers are lowest at entry level and increase by a constant amount with each year of experience.

In 1998 wage inflation influenced salary offers.

Entry Level

= Entry Level Salary Offer 1/4/98
+ Wage Inflation in 1998

Years of Experience


Dollars per Year Multiplied by
Number of Years

Expected Salary Offer	\$63.2	+	(\$6.7 * Years)
First 95% Confidence Bound of Expected Salary Offer	<i>\$66.4</i>	+	(\$5.1 * Years)
Second 95% Confidence Bound of Expected Salary Offer	<i>\$60.0</i>	+	(\$8.3 * Years)

The Expected Salary Offer Graphs present the minimum confidence interval of the equation.
Please view the Wage Inflation page for more specific information on the trend in Salary Offers over time.

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE

Expected Salary Offer for Each Year of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
 Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
 January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE

Wage Inflation

Salary Offers are increasing by a fixed amount each week

Expected Wage Inflation = $0.264(\text{Week}) = \$13.7$ per Year


95% Confidence Interval

Highest Wage Inflation = $0.521(\text{Week}) = \$27.1$ per Year

Lowest Wage Inflation = $0.007(\text{Week}) = \$0.4$ per Year

Salaries in \$1,000 ; 52 Weeks per year

Predicted Salary Offers through June 27, 1999




Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

Copyright © 1997-1999 by Whole Root® Economic Research, Inc.

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE


Extreme Salary Offers: 1 Year of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE


Extreme Salary Offers: 2 Years of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE


Extreme Salary Offers: 3 Years of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE


Extreme Salary Offers: 4 Years of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer / MCSE

Extreme Salary Offers: 5 Years of Required Experience



Sample Source: The New York Times
Sunday Employment section 52 Weeks from
January 4 through December 27, 1998

WindowsNT Engineer/MCSE

Statistical Test Results

Final Test Result
 Regression Summary
 Salary H-Adj vs. 3 Independents

Count	70
Num. Missing	0
R	.991
R Squared	.983
Adjusted R Squared	.982
RMS Residual	2.396

Original Test Result
 Regression Summary
 Salary vs. 2 Independents

Count	70
Num. Missing	0
R	.746
R Squared	.557
Adjusted R Squared	.544
RMS Residual	11.653

The R Squared statistic indicates:

1. 55.7% of the variability between salaries offered in want ads is explained by the expected salary offer line.
2. 44.3% of the variability between salaries offered in want ads is explained in the areas above and below the average salary offer line. This variability is depicted in the 95% probability ranges in the Expected Salary Offer and the Extreme Salary Offer Graphs.

The R Squared statistic calculates the percentage of the variation in salary offers around the mean salary offer that is explained by the expected salary offer line. An R Squared statistic of '1' would indicate that the expected salary offer line would be the only salary offered in the marketplace. A reasonable degree of variability should be expected due to the many factors influencing individual want ads.

The expected salary offer line was corrected for heteroscedasticity (please view the Heteroscedasticity Correction page for specifics). A consequence of correctly accounting for the relationship within the variance is that the Final R Squared statistic is no longer accurate. The variation around the mean salary offer has been altered to correctly calculate the expected salary offer line with all available information. The Original R Squared statistic presents the percentage of variation around the mean explained by the original expected salary offer line.

ANOVA Table

Salary H-Adj vs. 3 Independents

	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-Value	P-Value
Regression	3	22062.233	7354.078	1281.448	<.0001
Residual	67	384.505	5.739		
Total	70	22446.738			

Regression Coefficients

Salary H-Adj vs. 3 Independents

	Coefficient	Std. Error	Std. Coeff.	t-Value	P-Value
Entry Level H-Adj	49.499	5.088	.507	9.728	<.0001
Week H-Adj	.264	.129	.175	2.054	.0439
Years H-Adj	6.733	.800	.429	8.411	<.0001

The statistical tests indicate a high level of quality for the Expected Salary Offer line:

1. The probability, P-Value, that there is no Expected Salary Offer line, in the ANOVA Table, is less than .01% (one ten-thousandth of a percent).
2. The probability, P-Value, that a variable, in the Regression Coefficients table, has no influence on the expected salary offer is:
 - a. less than .01% (one ten-thousandth of a percent) for the Entry Level salary
 - b. 4.39% for Weekly Inflation
 - c. less than .01% (one ten-thousandth of a percent) for the Years of Experience.

WindowsNT Engineer/MCSE Heteroscedasticity Correction

Dependent Variable = $\ln(\text{Residual}^2)$

Independent Variable(s) = $\ln(\text{Week})$

The variation in salary offers above and below the expected salary line decreases at a decreasing rate over time.

This additional information is factored into the analysis by dividing all columns by:

$$(e^{(6.861 - 1.176\ln(\text{Week}))})^{.5}$$

When each want ad is weighted by its dependent influence on the variance, an unbiased expected salary offer line with constant variance is derived.

Regression Summary

$\ln(\text{Residual}^2)$ vs. 1 Independents

Count	70
Num. Missing	0
R	.338
R Squared	.114
Adjusted R Squared	.101
RMS Residual	2.039

ANOVA Table

$\ln(\text{Residual}^2)$ vs. 1 Independents

	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-Value	P-Value
Regression	1	36.427	36.427	8.765	.0042
Residual	68	282.602	4.156		
Total	69	319.028			

Regression Coefficients

$\ln(\text{Residual}^2)$ vs. 1 Independents

	Coefficient	Std. Error	Std. Coeff.	t-Value	P-Value
Intercept	6.861	1.276	6.861	5.379	<.0001
$\ln(\text{Week})$	-1.176	.397	-.338	-2.961	.0042

Original Regression Test Results with Heteroscedasticity

Regression Summary

Salary vs. 2 Independents

Count	70
Num. Missing	0
R	.746
R Squared	.557
Adjusted R Squared	.544
RMS Residual	11.653

ANOVA Table

Salary vs. 2 Independents

	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-Value	P-Value
Regression	2	11447.513	5723.757	42.149	<.0001
Residual	67	9098.558	135.799		
Total	69	20546.071			

Regression Coefficients

Salary vs. 2 Independents

	Coefficient	Std. Error	Std. Coeff.	t-Value	P-Value
Intercept	35.729	7.797	35.729	4.583	<.0001
$\ln(\text{Week})$	5.495	2.270	.197	2.420	.0182
Years	8.015	.900	.724	8.903	<.0001

Confidence Intervals

Salary vs. 2 Independents

	Coefficient	95% Lower	95% Upper
Intercept	35.729	20.167	51.292
$\ln(\text{Week})$	5.495	.964	10.027
Years	8.015	6.218	9.812